High Resolution Melting (HRM) applied to wine authenticity

Leonor Pereira a,b,1, Sónia Gomes a,b,1, Cláudia Castro a,b, José Eduardo Eiras-Dias c, João Brazão c, António Graça d, José R. Fernandes a,e, Paula Martins-Lopes a,b,*

a University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, P.O. Box 1013, 5000-911 Vila Real, Portugal
b University of Lisboa, Faculty of Sciences, BioISI – Biosystems & Integrative Sciences Institute, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal
c National Institute for Agricultural and Veterinary Research (INIAV), 2565-191 Dois Portos, Portugal
d SOGRAPE VINHOS S.A., 4430-809 Avintes, Portugal
e INESC/TEC, Rua do Campo Alegre n. 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

A R T I C L E  I N F O
Article history:
Received 5 April 2016
Received in revised form 29 July 2016
Accepted 31 July 2016
Available online 1 August 2016

Keywords:
Vitis vinifera L.
Must
Wine
HRM
Authenticity

A B S T R A C T
Wine authenticity methods are in increasing demand mainly in Denomination of Origin designations. The DNA-based methodologies are a reliable means of tracking food/wine varietal composition. The main aim of this work was the study of High Resolution Melting (HRM) application as a screening method for must and wine authenticity. Three sample types (leaf, must and wine) were used to validate the three developed HRM assays (Vv1 – 705 bp; Vv2 – 375 bp; and Vv3 – 119 bp). The Vv1 HRM assay was only successful when applied to leaf and must samples. The Vv2 HRM assay successfully amplified all sample types, allowing genotype discrimination based on melting temperature values. The smallest amplicon, Vv3, produced a coincident melting curve shape in all sample types (leaf and wine) with corresponding genotypes. This study presents sensitive, rapid and efficient HRM assays applied for the first time to wine samples suitable for wine authenticity purposes.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

It is estimated that about 10,000 different Vitis vinifera L. varieties exist worldwide (Gallet, 2000). However, only a few are used in wine production. When considering high valuable wines and the wines belonging to Denomination of Origin (DO), the number of varieties with interest is even lower.

Portugal is characterized by having a huge V. vinifera germplasm collection, with hundreds of varieties (Cunha, Teixeira-Santos, Brazão, Fevereiro, & Eiras-Dias, 2013). In 1756, the regulation defining the Port Wine’s region was established, being the first Demarcated region in the World (Região Demarcada do Douro). In 2001, the Douro region was classified by UNESCO as a world heritage site. In this region premier wines are produced mainly due to the grapevine varieties used and its terroir. In the Douro region there are old vineyards with a wide number of grapevine varieties, which are contrasting with the modern vineyards that have defined grapevine varieties used to produce monovarietal wines with high commercial value.

Governmental bodies have an obligation to control, promote and defend the DO appellations. In monovarietal wines the occasional addition of other grapevine varieties, above the percentage permitted by law, can occur and are considered illegal, unless stated, under labelling legislation (European Union Regulation n° 607/2009). Thus, wine authenticity has become a subject of great concern since the incorrect labelling represents a commercial fraud. Therefore, the precise identification of the grapevine varietal composition is a key point to combat fraudulent practices and to assure commercial fairness.

Traditional methods used for must and wine grapevine varietal identification and authentication rely on protein and metabolites analysis and on the isotope ratios of certain bio-elements (Arbulu, Sampedro, Gómez-Caballero, Goicoeia, & Barrio, 2015; Camin et al., 2013; Sen & Tokatli, 2014; Versari, Laurie, Ricci, Laghi, & Parpinello, 2014). However, these analytical techniques are influenced by winemaking processes, environment and storage conditions (Arbulu et al., 2015), therefore leading to inconsistencies related to the accurate and reliable identification of grapevine varieties (Fang et al., 2008). DNA based methods are considered to be more reliable based on the fact that DNA is a stable molecule. Furthermore, DNA has been applied to several food matrices with remarkable success considering authenticity purposes (Faria, Magalhães, Nunes, & Oliveira, 2013; Madesis, Ganopoulos, …
Grapevine varietal identification is easily guaranteed with the use of nuclear molecular markers, namely, Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) approved and supported by the International Organization of Vine and Wine (OIV; OIV, 2007). Although SSR markers have been used for food authenticity purposes several problems have arisen related to the DNA quality, a result of the extraction procedures (reviewed by Pereira, Gomes, & Martins-Lopes, 2016). When considering must/wine matrices the presence of large quantities of polyphenols, polysaccharides and proteins sometimes inhibit PCR reactions (İşçi, Yıldirim, & Alttindisli, 2014). Another drawback in the application of SSR markers, in such sample type, is related with DNA degradation, result of alcoholic fermentation process. However, several DNA extraction protocols have been improved and have managed to increase both the yield and the quality of the extracted DNA (e.g., Baleiras-Couto & Eiras-Dias, 2006; Bigliazzi, Scalì, Paolucci, Cresti, & Vignani, 2012; Boccacci, Akkak, Marinoni, Gerbi, & Schneider, 2012; Nakamura, Haraguchi, Mitani, & Ohtsubo, 2007; Pereira, Guedes-Pinto, & Martins-Lopes, 2011; Savazzini & Martellini, 2006). The use of small molecular markers, such as, Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) could be a way to overcome the natural DNA degradation found in such samples. SNPs are considered the newest type of molecular markers that offer several advantages since they are abundant in the genome, genetically stable and can be used to overcome the degradation limitations allowing DNA amplification and the use of more sensitive techniques (Cabezas et al., 2011). High Resolution Melting (HRM) analysis has been widely used for mutation detection and genotyping. Due to its nature, it can be considered as an alternative approach for food authenticity purposes (Ganopoulos, Argiriou, & Tsaftaris, 2011a; Ganopoulos, Argiriou, & Tsaftaris, 2011b; Ganopoulos, Bazakos, Madesis, Kalaitzis, & Tsaftaris, 2013a; Ganopoulos, Sakaridis, Argiriou, Madesis, & Tsaftaris, 2013b; Madesis et al., 2014; Wittwer, 2009).

HRM analysis is a sensitive, stable, and reliable screening method that allows the rapid analysis of specific amplicons, characteristic of a particular genotype, previously amplified by PCR. In Vitis, HRM was applied in grapevine variety identification using various microsatellites (Mackay, Wright, & Bonfiglioli, 2008). Recently, HRM has been applied by our group to the identification of grapevine varieties based on the SNPs changes detected within genes belonging to the anthocyanins pathway (Castro et al., submitted; Pereira & Martins-Lopes, 2015).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the capacity of HRM to access varietal identification in must and wine samples, in order to establish a future alternative authenticity procedure.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Leaf, must and wine samples

Thirteen <i>V. vinifera</i> varieties were selected based on their importance to the Portuguese wine sector, in particular to the Douro region. The sampling comprised national and international varieties (Table 1). Young leaf samples from each grapevine variety were harvested from certified vineyards (Sogrape Vinhos S.A. and Rea Companhia Velha) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen until DNA extraction. Grape samples were harvested from the certified vineyards in two consecutive production years, 2012 and 2013. Monovarietal must and wine samples were produced at the National Institute for Agricultural and Veterinary Research (INIAV) in Dois Portos, Portugal, using freshly harvested grapes. All must samples were collected immediately after wine maceration and immediately frozen at −20 °C. The wines were vinified using two procedures according to grape color.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grapevine variety name</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Berry color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alicante Bouschet</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet Sauvignon</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donzelinho Tinto</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merlot</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvassa Fina</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinot Noir</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufete</td>
<td>Ruf</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinto Cão</td>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touriga Franca</td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinta Francisca</td>
<td>TFi</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touriga Nacional</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinta Rozé</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viosinho</td>
<td>Vio</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.1. Vinification of white grape varieties

White varieties grapes were weighed, crushed, destemmed and pressed separately. Immediately, 80 mg/L of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) was added to each must and then placed in a cold room at 4 °C for about 48 h for cold settling. After cold setting, the musts were transferred to two glass containers, in two equal parts for each variety, and the alcoholic fermentation was conducted by adding an active dry yeast (QA23) in the ratio of 30 g/hL of must and 1 g of diammonium phosphate per 10 L of must. The must fermentation took place in a controlled temperature chamber (16–18 °C). At the end of alcoholic fermentation (reducing sugars <3 g/L) the wines were racked and 40 mg/L of the SO₂ was added. Approximately, 2 months after fermentation (December), the wines were transferred and the free SO₂ levels were corrected up to 20 mg/L. In January, all wines were sampled for further laboratory analysis, after which the free SO₂ was fixed up to 40 mg/L. Finally, the wines were bottled in 0.375 L glass bottles.

2.1.2. Vinification of red grape varieties

The red grape varieties were weighed, crushed and destemmed separately. Shortly after, 80 mg/L of SO₂ and an active dry yeast (D254) was added in the ratio of 20 g/hL of must. The musts were fermented in a controlled temperature chamber (24–26 °C). During this phase, the punch down of wine grapes was made twice a day. When the must density was lower than 1.0 g/cm³, wine grapes pressing was made. The must/wine resulting was transferred into glass bottles to almost full capacity. When the fermentation ended, the operations performed were the same as described in white wines. Wine sampling was performed one year after bottling. When the bottle was opened, samples were taken and immediately frozen at −20 °C until the DNA extraction procedure was pursued.

2.2. Genomic DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA was extracted from frozen young leaf samples using the described CTAB method (Doyle & Doyle, 1987). Must DNA extractions were performed using a modified CTAB protocol (Pereira et al., 2012). Wine genomic DNA extractions were performed according to the method described by Pereira et al. (2011). The DNA samples were diluted in 100 µL of 0.1X TE buffer (Tris-HCl 100 mM, EDTA 0.1 mM pH = 8) to 10 ng/µL of working concentration. The determination of the samples’ purity, integrity and quantity were based on measurements performed using a Nanodrop™ 1000 Spectrophotometer and by electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel in 1X TAE buffer (Tris-acetate-EDTA).

2.3. High-Resolution Melting assay design

2.3.1. HRM primer design

The primer pairs tested for the HRM analysis are summarized in Table 2. The HRM primer pairs selected for the study were based on

---

*Sakaridis, Argiriou, & Tsaftaris, 2014; Martins-Lopes, Gomes, Pereira, & Guedes-Pinto, 2013.*

**Table 1** List of 13 grapevine varieties used, corresponding code and berry color.
previous sequencing data described in Pereira and Martins-Lopes (2015) and Castro et al. (submitted). Three length fragments were tested in leaf, must and wine DNA samples (Table 2).

2.3.2. PCR amplification and HRM analysis

All PCR reactions were conducted in a 48-well plate using the StepOne Software v2.2.2 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in a 20 µL/well total volume according manufacturing instructions. The final reaction mixture contained 20 ng total DNA, 0.2 µM forward and reverse primers, and 1 × MeltDoctor HRM Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The PCR amplification was followed by the HRM and included an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 58–60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s, then a final extension step of 72 °C for 2 min. The melting curve was obtained in continuous, performed as follow: 95 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 1 min rising 0.3 °C/s, 95 °C for 15 s. During the incremental melting step, fluorescence data were continuously acquired. All reactions were performed in triplicate. High Resolution Melt Software v3.0.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was used to analyze the data. After normalization and the temperature shift determination, the different melting curves of the several plots were generated.

Several HRM assays were used. Assays Vv1 and Vv2 fragments were previously optimized using leaf samples (Castro et al., submitted; Pereira & Martins-Lopes, 2015), while the Vv3 fragment was developed based on the sequencing information of the UDP-glucose: flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase gene (UFGT) gene (Pereira & Martins-Lopes, 2015).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. HRM analysis applied to must using Vv1 – 705 bp fragment (UFGT gene)

HRM analysis of a specific fragment belonging to the UFGT gene which allowed the identification of several grapevine varieties Pereira and Martins-Lopes (2015) was used. In the current study, the HRM assay was tested in must samples and compared to the leaf DNA reference material (Fig. 1). The HRM profile obtained between the two differentiated samples, leaf versus must, completely corresponded in all the grapevine varieties used (4 genotypes). These genotypes were selected based on the previous work once they produced different melting curve shapes (Pereira & Martins-Lopes, 2015). The melting curve profiles were coincident with the previous ones obtained in leaf samples, demonstrating the robustness of the assay.

Even though it is well known that wine fermentation yields DNA highly degraded (Garcia-Beneytez, Moreno-Arribas, Borrego, Polo, & Ibáñez, 2002), it is also true that must samples still contain high fragment genomic DNA, which enables it to be successfully used in marker systems that target large fragments, such as SSR markers (Baleiras-Couto & Eiras-Dias, 2006; Bigliazzi et al. 2012; Pereira et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Plaza et al., 2006). The fragment size used in this assay is the biggest specific fragment reported so far in must samples, and it is interesting because it allows the discrimination of multiple events in one unique reaction, overcoming the potential obtained through SSR analysis which detects repeat variation.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment/gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
<th>Ta (°C)</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
<th>N° and type of polymorphisms within Fragment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vv1/UFGT</td>
<td>Fwd: GCAATGTAATATCAAGTCC</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>84.6–84.9</td>
<td>32 SNPs and 1 INDEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev: TTCTTTTCTGAGCCATT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vv2/F3H</td>
<td>Fwd: AGAGAAAGAAGGCGACGT</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>84.6–84.9</td>
<td>5 SNPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev: GATGGCTGGAAACGATGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vv3/UFGT</td>
<td>Fwd: AGCAGATGCGGGGTGGCTT</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>78.6–78.8</td>
<td>4 SNPs and 1 INDEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev: AGCAGTAACACCTGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Ta – annealing temperature; Tm – melting temperature.

Fig. 1. HRM different profiles obtained for a specific fragment of UFGT gene (705 bp) for the grapevine varieties, considering leaf and corresponding must (TN – Touriga Nacional; TF – Touriga Franca; TR – Tinta Roriz; TC – Tinto Cão).
When HRM assay was applied, as a screening method, to DNA extracted from wine samples no amplification was detected. This result was expected once the assay comprises a large fragment size that is not available after the wine fermentation procedures (Baleiras-Couto & Eiras-Dias, 2006; İsci, Yıldırım, & Altındişli, 2009). The developed HRM assay demonstrated that it is possible to apply HRM to: (i) large DNA fragments; (ii) fragments with a high number of SNPs; and (iii) must genomic DNA samples. In order to overcome constrains found in the wine DNA samples, two HRM assays were further tested using different fragment lengths.

3.2. HRM analysis applied to must and wine using Vv2 – fragment 375 bp (F3H gene)

The main drawback associated to wine authenticity is the nature of the DNA sample. The low quantity and the lack of integrity in wine DNA are associated with the fermentation process. Baleiras-Couto and Eiras-Dias (2006) reported that the identification of the grapevine varieties, in monovarietal wines, was not possible with nuclear markers. Several efforts have been conducted to create short fragments length markers targeting varietal identification (Lijavetzky, Cabezas, Ibáñez, Rodríguez, & Martínez-Zapater, 2007; Myles et al., 2010).

The HRM analysis is preferably applied to small fragment sizes (up to 300 bp) and with a low number of SNPs (Druml & Cichna-Markl, 2014). Aiming to identify the grapevine varieties in monovarietal wines, an HRM assay with a smaller fragment Vv2 (375 bp) was used. The amplified fragment comprehended a total of five SNPs and was designed within the F3H gene sequence (Castro et al., submitted).

The same group of grapevine varieties used in the first experiment was used and the international red grape variety Cabernet Sauvignon was added (Fig. 2A). A total correspondence was found between leaf and the correspondent must (Fig. 2A). These five varieties presented all the same sequence (Castro et al., submitted), and through the HRM assay it is evident that the exactly same profile is obtained in leaf and must samples (based on the melting temperature – \( T_m \)). When this HRM assay was applied to wine samples the exact same profile was found (Fig. 2B), demonstrating that although the fragment is still considerably big, it is possible to obtain amplification within wine samples when appropriated extraction methods are used.
In order to validate this HRM assay for wine authenticity purposes, a bigger number of grapevine varieties were included to discriminate the different genotypes, previously obtained through the sequencing analysis (Castro et al., submitted). In Fig. 3, four different genotypes, variants, were separated according to their T_m. The grapevine varieties were grouped according to their sequence, independent of their grape skin color, and the vini- 
figication process. Malvasia Fina is a white variety that was vinified 
with a different process and it did not interfere with amplification 
procedure. Not only the genomic DNA extracted from must and 
wine samples presented the required size for this particular assay 
but the DNA extraction procedures were efficient in the elimina-
tion of PCR-inhibitors (Pereira et al., 2011; Pereira et al., 2012).

HRM has been applied to fruit juice authenticity using the DNA barcode trnL, allowing the discrimination of 5 species (Faria et al., 
2013). The fragment used was around 500 bp long, however it is a chloroplastidial sequence, therefore it is less subjected to DNA fragmentation. The authors also refer in this study that DNA extrac-
tions needs to be optimized so PCR-inhibitors are not present.

3.3. HRM analysis applied to wines using Vv3 – fragment 119 bp (UFGT gene)

In wine samples, the DNA amplicons length is an inherent disadvantage that influences the High Resolution Melting (HRM) sensitivity. With ageing the length of the DNA fragments, recovered from wine samples, are smaller, and therefore it is necessary to use shorter amplicon sequences for grapevine varietal identifi-
cation (Baleiras-Couto & Eiras-Dias, 2006). Thus, taking into consideration the known problematic, a new approach was developed based on a redesigning of the UFGT primer pair, using a smaller fragment, Vv3. This fragment is 119 bp long, targeting short DNA fragments recovered in wine samples. The developed HRM assay generated 8 different HRM curve profiles, according to the grapevine varieties and wine samples’ genotypes (Fig. 4A). A total of 8 genotypes were expected based on the sequencing information (Pereira & Martins-Lopes, 2015). As depicted in Fig. 4A, 7 grapevine varieties (CS – Cabernet Sauvignon; VIO – Viosinho; TR – Tinta Roriz; Ruf – Rufete; M – Merlot; AB – Alicante Bouschet; and PN – Pinot Noir) were discriminated from the nine varieties studied. Two grape varieties, Touriga Nacional and Tour-
igma Franca, presented the same melting curve shape, as expected, once they present the same sequence in the fragment under consid-
eration. A coincident profile was obtained between the leaf, and wine DNA samples belonging to the same genotypes. In this case the discrimination of the haplotypes was based on the shape of the melting curves once the melting temperature (T_m) values are similar between all samples. This analysis is more informative and allows a quick and visible accession of the genotypic differences found among grapevine varieties under study (Fig. 4A) than the one based only on T_m results (Fig. 3). These results confirm that low-molecular weight markers based on small sequence differences are useful to detect grapevine DNA in wine samples, suggesting the possible application of HRM assay in grapevine varietal identification in DO wines and in undeclared admixture in wine.

In order to prove that this assay maybe used in commercial wines, a sample used in a previous study, “Torre de Ferro 2006” (Pereira et al., 2011), was tested (Fig. 4B). As shown in Fig. 4B one of the varieties present in the commercial wine “Torre de Ferro 2006”, Tinta Roriz, amplified and presented the correspondent pro-
file to the leaf sample of reference.

Similar approaches were considered when applying HRM to highly processed food samples. Vietina, Agrimonti, and Marmiroli (2013) reported on the use of a small amplicon, 71 bp, to detect alien oil in olive oil samples, using the RbcL chloroplast sequence.

HRM assay can be applied to complex food/wine matrices as long as several conditions are attended: (i) an efficient DNA extrac-
tion protocol is used taking into consideration the specific matrices characteristics; (ii) smaller DNA fragment are targeted and (iii) a combined number of single polymorphisms (SNPs) capable of differentiating several genotypes in one unique assay are used.

Within the developed HRM assays, based on MeltDoctor™, it was possible to have consistent and reproducible results independent of sample type (leaf, must and wine). This is interesting once the assay can be designed based on a set of primers, and it can be used to iden-
tify multiple events within a fragment, without the need of designing multiple probes to detect each genotype, as it is required when using TaqMan or Molecular Beacon technology (Madesis et al., 2014), hence decreasing the cost required per analysis.
4. Conclusions

In the present work the use of HRM has proven to be successfully applied to must and wine controlled and commercial samples, opening new opportunities for the use of DNA-based methods for must and wine authenticity purposes. The use of smaller fragments, such as the one described for Vv3, guarantees a more robust and reliable method for wine authenticity, whereas for must samples higher fragments can be considered, increasing the discrimination power of the assay, once they are able to contemplate a higher number of SNP and INDEL events.

The application of HRM based assays in wine authenticity constitutes a consistent technology that can be cost effective, and therefore can revolutionize the wine sector, as long as a melt curve database is designed for such a purpose.
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